

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/536,941	<b>Applicant(s)</b> BRADLEY ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Jaison P. Thomas	<b>Art Unit</b> 1796	

**All Participants:**

(1) Jaison P. Thomas.

(2) Scott Cummings.

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 9 November 2009

**Time:** 12:30 pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

23

Prior art documents discussed:

*Miyoshi et al. (US Patent App. Pub. No. 2003/0134963))*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Amendment of Claim 23 to include a Markush group of waxes defined on pgs.5-6 of the instant specification in the dispersed phase to overcome the prior art. The proposal was declined.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)